

2026 03 13 - meet.coop Community Meeting - public chat

[14:00] Kawaii Punk is now the presenter

[14:11] [calix~they/them : VIEWER]: Quite a lot of echo / feedback coming from your end Graham

[14:11] [calix~they/them : VIEWER]: and Mike

[14:17] [Danny Garside : VIEWER]: Can someone add that name into the shared notes?

[14:17] [calix~they/them : VIEWER]: <https://www.cyberciti.biz/security/how-to-change-luks-disk-encryption-passphrase-in-linux/>

[14:24] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: There has been an email sent to tech@social.coop

[14:25] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: thanks Eduardo!

[14:26] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: Recordings we have a plan for but it costs money

[14:27] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: thanks John

[14:27] [Wouter Tebbens : VIEWER]: I agree that communications should be better, to the members. You might recall that I did volunteer to transmit a collectively defined message (thanks KawaiiPunk) about the migration & update work last October. Through OpenCollective. I did, but OC didn't work those days in transmitting news messages to subscribers...) So maybe positing communications through the forum would be wise (I recall we once setup an all forum users news category)

[14:28] [calix~they/them : VIEWER]: @Wouter might be worth sending by email to all users (export the list from BBB / Keycloak) as well?

[14:28] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: note for Wouter, it's an opt in tick box to send via email to all OC members

[14:28] [eduardo mercovich (social.coop) : VIEWER]: We can route comms through the CW (community working group).

[14:29] [eduardo mercovich (social.coop) : VIEWER]: in socialcoop, I mean. 😊

[14:29] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: If we need some help with comms, my friend Danny (in this call) is interested in helping out

[14:30] [Danny Garside : VIEWER]: 🙌 hi!

[14:30] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: I'm worried we aren't going to be able to discuss meet.coop decision making

[14:30] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: 30 mins in

[14:30] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: this is critical

[14:32] [eduardo mercovich (social.coop) : VIEWER]:
@edumerco@social.coop if I can help from the OC (Organizing Circle).

[14:33] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: Sorry about that. I can just see us getting lost in details

[14:33] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: we can do another call soon

[14:33] [Yves (Remix the Commons) : VIEWER]: +1

[14:33] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: because it's all great stuff

[14:34] [eduardo mercovich (social.coop) : VIEWER]: Regarding governance, I'm sure you have everything that is needed, however, if our experience with peer governance (sociocracy) could be helpful, please count with us.

[14:35] [Wouter Tebbens : VIEWER]: meet.coop @ OC:

£Today's balance

£13,921.10 GBP

Total raised

£61,458.15 GBP

Total disbursed

£47,537.05 GBP

Estimated annual budget

£8,796.00 GBP

[14:36] [calix~they/them : VIEWER]: Also forgot to say, happy Friday 13th 🧛‍♂️

[14:37] [eduardo mercovich (social.coop) : VIEWER]: I understand that this is a more internal/ private issue. I may retire, if no one objects?

[14:37] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: <https://forum.meet.coop/t/can-i-recover-my-recordings-despite-service-being-down/1764/4>

[14:38] [Matt from social.coop : VIEWER]: IMO I believe Social.Coop could contribute some funds, but to get social.coop back on track as a contributor, I think we would need to get the service working for existing members (with meet.coop accounts) and have an answer to the recordings question. Does that sound right, other SC folks?

[14:42] [Danny Garside : VIEWER]: Radical Routes pays £1K/year (I believe), and our 3 year budget-setting happens in May.

[14:42] [Matt from social.coop : VIEWER]: +1 calix

[14:46] [Wouter Tebbens : VIEWER]: well, we have had a member registry and members could vote in the forum, but indeed combined with a doocratic method to get work done with whoever shows up

[14:48] [Matt from social.coop : VIEWER]: <https://opencollective.com/socialcoop#category-BUDGET>

[14:48] [Matt from social.coop : VIEWER]: This message has been deleted by Matt from social.coop

[14:48] [Graham Mitchell : VIEWER]: Many thanks Eduardo. we may not need it, but nonetheless great to hear.

[14:50] [calix~they/them : VIEWER]: @Matt I don't see meet.coop contributions in our "paid expenses" 🤔

[14:51] [eduardo mercovich (social.coop) : VIEWER]: Thanks KP, I will circulate that in SC.

[14:51] [Matt from social.coop : VIEWER]: (Is the purpose to grow meet.coop, or just to maintain a shared service among existing members that others are welcome to join?)

[14:51] [Graham Mitchell : VIEWER]: I think it needs to grow in order to be sustainable.

[14:51] [Kawaii Punk : MODERATOR]: thread on spinnin up ca.meet.coop again: <https://forum.meet.coop/t/spinning-up-ca-meet-coop-again/1792/8>

[14:51] [Danny Garside : VIEWER]: I've been writing fairly freely what people say in the notes (so I'd advise people to have a look through them before they get shared publicly) to make sure I have represented people appropriately.

[14:54] [Matt from social.coop : VIEWER]: Good point! I don't see it either, not as meet.coop, not as webtv... The Webarchitects contribution is for hosting, right?

[14:54] [Wouter Tebbens : VIEWER]: happy to see Danny join up with Graham and Kawaii Punk. Thanks to all of you!

[14:56] [calix~they/them : VIEWER]: +1 to recordings

[14:56] [calix~they/them : VIEWER]: also +1 to YOLOing it

[14:56] [Yves (Remix the Commons) : VIEWER]: +1 recordings

[14:56] [calix~they/them : VIEWER]: also I have a hard out at 15 UTC

[14:58] [eduardo mercovich (social.coop) : VIEWER]: Me too...

[15:04] [Matt from social.coop : VIEWER]: thanks Mike and all for your constancy

[15:11] [John Rooke : VIEWER]: Thanks folks, bye